

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTOR	NEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/754,806	09/754,806 01/02/2001			Q.Z. Liu	00C	00CON122P-DIV1 2716				
25700	7590	12/12/2003				EXAMINER				
	FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 16148 SAND CANYON						NADAV, ORI			
IRVINE, C.		114		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
220, 22, 20,	, ,_,,,					2811				

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. <u>- </u>	•	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	M						
		_	09/754,806		LIU ET AL.							
	Offic	Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit							
			ori nadav		2811							
Peri d f		ING DATE of this communication ap	pears on th	cover sheet with the	orrespondence addr	ess						
THE N - Exten after S - if the - if NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING D usions of time n SIX (6) MONTH period for reply period for reply re to reply within eply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.14 fls from the mailing date of this communication. It specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing indigustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time by minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.						
1)⊠	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on 16	October 2003	<u>3</u> .								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action	on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is n	on-final.								
3)□ Dispositi		s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under ms				merits is						
4) 🖂	Claim(s)	24-26 and 28-48 is/are pending in th	ne application									
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5) 🗌	Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.										
6)⊠.	6)⊠ _. Claim(s) <u>24-26 and 28-48</u> is/are rejected.											
7) 🗌	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.										
• —	` ' -	are subject to restriction and/o	or election red	quirement.								
	on Papers											
<i>,</i> —	•	cation is objected to by the Examine		·								
10) 🔲 🛚		g(s) filed on is/are: a) acce										
	• •	may not request that any objection to the										
11) 🔲 🗅	• •	sed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner	•						
40) 🗆 🗆		ed, corrected drawings are required in re		ce action.								
<i>'</i> —		r declaration is objected to by the Ex	xanınıer.									
-		I.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		051100 \$440/0) (d) == (D)							
		dgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (1).							
a)[] Some * c) ☐ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.											
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No											
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
14) 🗌 A	cknowledg	gment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).						
		ranslation of the foreign language pr gment is made of a claim for domes										
Attachment	t(s)											
2) Notic	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:	4) Interview Summary 5) f Notice of Informal 6 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-							
S. Patent and Ti		Office A	Action Summary	•	Part of Pa	aper No. 22						

. 3



Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 24-26 and 28-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa (Jp 402262308A) in view of Cornett et al. (6,069,397) and Ewen et al. (5,446,311).

Yokogawa teaches in figure 2 and related text a structure in a semiconductor chip, the structure comprising a first area of dielectric 4 (the top layer) having a first permeability, a second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4) having a second permeability, an inductor 4 comprising a square spiral (see figure 1) conductor patterned within the dielectric, patterned in the second area of the dielectric, wherein the material of the second area of the dielectric not being situated underneath the conductor, the first area of the dielectric not being situated underneath the conductor and the second area of the dielectric not being situated over the conductor, and wherein the conductor having first and second terminals, the first and second terminals of the inductor.



Art Unit: 2811

Yokogawa does not teach the material of the first area of dielectric 4 and the material of the second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4).

Cornett et al. teach in figure 2 and related text a structure in a semiconductor chip, the structure comprising a dielectric 217 having a first permeability, a permeability conversion magnetic oxide material 223 having a second permeability, the permeability conversion material (metal) being interspersed within the dielectric, wherein the second permeability is greater than the first permeability (column 2, lines 39-62), wherein a second permeability being achieved by interspersing a permeability conversion material (metal particles) within the second area of the dielectric, the permeability conversion material having a third permeability, the third permeability being greater than the first and second permeabilities, an inductor 110 comprising a square spiral (see figure 1) conductor patterned within the dielectric, wherein the permeability conversion material 223 not being situated underneath the conductor, the conductor having first and second terminals, the first and second terminals of the conductor being respectively first and second terminals of the inductor.

Cornett et al. do not explicitly state that the second permeability of magnetic oxide layers 221, 223. is greater than the first permeability of passivation/dielectric layer 217. That is, Cornett et al. do not state that the conventional passivation/dielectric layer 217 comprise silicon oxide. Ewen et al. teach in figure 3 a passivation/dielectric layer 2° comprising silicon oxide.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use silicon oxide as the material for the first area of dielectric 4

Art Unit: 2811

and magnetic oxide as the material of the second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4) in Yokogawa's device, as taught by Cornett et al., in order to simplify the processing the steps of the making the device by insulating the device with a conventional silicon oxide insulating material, and in order to improve the magnetic characteristics of the inductor, respectively.

Regarding claims 29, 35 and 46, Yokogawa does not teach using a conductor being selected from the group consisting of copper, aluminum, and copper-aluminum alloy. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a conductor being selected from the group consisting of copper, aluminum, and copper-aluminum alloy in Yokogawa 's device in order to improve the conductivity of the device with a conventional conducting material. Note that substitution of materials is not patentable even when the substitution is new and useful.: Safetran Systems Corp. v. Federal Sign & Signal Corp. (DC NIII, 1981) 215 USPQ 979.

Regarding the processing limitations recited in claims 38, 44 and 45 ("the permeability" conversion material is interspersed in the second dielectric area by ion implantation and by sputtering when the first dielectric area is covered with photo resist"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann,"

Art Unit: 2811

180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-26 and 28-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC).

2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC

2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such

papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG

30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722

and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to

Group 2811 applications.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. December 9, 2003 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Chi Nan